

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/870,138		05/30/2001	Makoto Kai	5077-000051	8863		
27572	7590	11/25/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HARNESS	ARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. HARPER, HOLLY R				HOLLY R		
P.O. BOX 8	28						
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2879			

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	41			
Advisor Action	09/870,138	KAI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Holly R. Harper	2879				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with the	correspondenc addr	SS			
THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely flied Notice of App	void abandonment of this appli	cation. A proper reply	to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneous (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three exceeded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate exten the final Office action; or (2)	sion fee under as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);					
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or 	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	S .			
NOTE: See attached explanation.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered ber raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:	Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on 10/17/03, has not been entered.

Proposed amendments change the scope of the claims and thus will not be entered.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicants claim that that plastic flow is created by applying stress from the outside of a caulking member and is not the same as welding, the examiner respectfully disagrees. It is believed that welding also occurs by applying stress from outside. However, this limitation raises new limitations and would require a further search.

Regarding applicants claim that two wires welded together are not a continuous and common piece of material, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim limitations include two wires (external lead and lead wire) and once they are welded together they are integrally formed and continuous. An external lead and lead wire being the same wire would require a new search

Application/Control Number: 09/870,138

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Harper whose telephone number is (703) 305-7908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Holly Harper Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879 NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800